Insufficient education: A national survey of LGBTQ+-specific educational experiences of health professionals in training across disciplines Lexie Wille MA (1), Tess Jewell (2), Atticus Wolfe MPH (3) Health **Professionals**

(1) The University of Texas at Austin, (2) The University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, (3) Duke University School of Medicine

Introduction

Healthcare professionals often feel underprepared to treat patients who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and/or queer (LGBTQ+).

The health disparities experienced by LGBTQ+ communities are thought to be in part related to lack of access to providers who are knowledgeable about LGBTQ+ care.

In this cross-sectional survey study, we explore the number of hours and type of training health professions trainees receive in LGBTQ+-specific education across professional disciplines.

Methodology

We surveyed health professionals in training (HPiT), including medical, nursing, psychology, physician assistant, and other trainees across the United States (N=155) on their training programs' LGBTQ+-specific curricula and educational opportunities.

Ordered logistic regression analysis assessed the relationship between the number of hours of LGBTQ+-specific education and other discipline, organization, and individual factors.

Results

		Fig	ure 1: In-person or streamed HPi	d lectures were th T learned about I
			In-person or streamed lectures	
		ting	Reading materials required by the program	
		al set	Pre-recorded lectures	
at		Pre-clinical setting	In-person or live streamed panels of speakers	
format		Pre	Reading materials sought out on own	
Training			Interactive online training	
Trai	gu			
	Clinical setting	Clin	nical training experience with an LGBTQ+ patient	
	linica	Clinical super	visor provided LGBTQ+-specific care information	
	0			
			Other	
				0 20

he most common training format in which LGBTQ+ health

120

Gender Cisgen woman Transg Sexualit Straigh

> **Reported hours of** LGBTQ+-specific education received thus far was and respondent's LGBTQ+ identity.

associated with the respondent's year in their training program

Conclusion This survey is the first to our knowledge that evaluated LGBTQ+-specific education across disciplines, with notable representation from medical, nursing, psychology/mental health, and physician assistant students. This study was also developed, fielded, and analyzed by a team of HPiT representing a diversity of programs and disciplines.

These results indicate an overall lack of progress in LGBTQ+ healthcare education, despite expert recommendations calling for at least 10 hours of required curriculum for proficiency for medical students (Nowaskie & Patel, 2020).

Pregnall et al. (2021) note that didactic hours are an important part of LGBTQ+-specific medical training, but are not sufficient by themselves, and clinical exposure and practice with LGBTQ+ patients is also crucial.

We call on the regulatory bodies of health professions training programs to institute mandatory minimums in terms of didactic hours and patient exposure for healthcare trainees, in order to improve the care experiences and overall health of LGBTQ+ patients.

This project was conducted by members of the GLMA: Health Professionals Advancing LGBTQ+ Equality HPiT **Curriculum Reform Committee.**

Number of HPiT who reported training in this format

Results (continued)

	Full Sa (N=1	-	MD/ (N=		Nurs (N=	-	Psyche and m health	ental	Physi Assis (N=	tant	Other ((N=21)
ariables	M or %	(SD)	M or %	(SD)	M or %	(SD)	M or %	(SD)	M or %	(SD)	M or %	(SD)
r												
ender man	17%		25%		5%		7%		22%		19%	
ender	64%		58%		76%		50%		72%		71%	
n												
sgender [‡]	19%		17%		19%		43%		6%		10%	
ity												
ght	42%		25%		57%		36%		66%		43%	
or lesbian	10%		11%		10%		0%		9%		19%	
ual	19%		26%		14%		14%		22%		10%	
exual	2%		0%		5%		7%		0%		0%	
ual	7%		11%		0%		7%		0%		14%	
er or	20%		26%		14%		36%		3%		14%	
ther												
ality												
	4.75	3.04	5.12	3.38	4.17	3.28	5.30	3.54	6.63	1.98	3.88	2.47
							т	able 2:	Linear r	egressio	on mod	els. Or



	Мо	del 1	Mod	el 2	Model 3 F=1.98 R-squared = 0.14		
	F=1	L.06	F=3	.01			
	R-square	ed = 0.03	R-square	d = 0.11			
Variables	Coeff.	(SE)	Coeff.	(SE)	Coeff.	(SE)	
Discipline							
MD/DO [Ref.]	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nursing	-0.96	0.78	-1.32	0.82	-1.38	0.88	
Psychology and mental health	0.18	0.71	-0.09	0.70	0.02	0.73	
Physician Assistant	-0.50	0.68	-0.75	0.70	-0.69	0.74	
Other	-1.24	0.78	-1.44	0.77	-0.75	0.88	
LGBTQ+ identity			-1.41**	0.51	-1.43**	0.52	
Year in program			0.51*	0.23	0.58*	0.24	
Region							
Midwest [Ref.]					-	-	
Northeast					-1.35	0.89	
Southeast					-0.71	0.83	
West					0.08	0.73	
Southwest					0.07	2.17	
Race							
White or Asian American [Ref.]					-	-	
Racial identity that is					0.72	0.64	
under-represented in							
medicine (URiM)							
N=155 Model 1							
V=152 Model 2							
V=150 Model 3							
*, **, *** denote significance at the P≤	0.05. P≤0.01. and	d P≤0.001. respe	ctively.				



Advancing **GBTQ+** Equality

Reported hours of LGBTQ+ education do not differ significantly by health professional discipline after controlling for LGBTQ+ identity, year in program, region, and race.

Table 2: Linear regression models. Outcome: reported hours of education or training that included LGBTQ+ people or issues