**Poster Judging Rubric - LGBT Health Workforce Conference** **2020**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **POSTER #** | **5** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| **Study Design & Execution***Hypothesis, Methods, Results (amount & quality of data), Conclusions* | Clear study design, execution, and data presentation. Substantial high quality data sufficient to address hypothesis or goal. Conclusions strongly supported by evidence presented. | Challenge in one of the following: adequate study design, execution, data presentation, data quality sufficient to address hypothesis or goal, conclusions supported by evidence presented. | Challenge in two of the following: adequate study design, execution, data presentation, data quality sufficient to address hypothesis or goal, conclusions supported by evidence presented. | Challenge in more than two of the following: adequate study design, execution, data presentation, data quality sufficient to address hypothesis or goal, conclusions supported by evidence presented. | Unclear study design, poor or incomplete execution and data presentation. Data insufficient in quality and/or quantity to address hypothesis or goal. Conclusions not supported by evidence presented. |
| **Poster Quality***Organization, figures & tables, concise text, error-free* | Well organized and complete, easy to read, no errors. Visually appealing. | Challenge in one of following: organization, figures & tables, readability, errors. | Challenge in two of the following: organization, figures & tables, readability, errors. | Challenge in more than two of the following: organization, figures & tables, readability, errors. | Poorly organized or incomplete. Difficult to read, multiple consistent errors. |
| **Presenter***Knowledge of project & background information, quality of verbal presentation, engaging for audience* | Answers difficult questions clearly and succinctly. Presentation consistently clear and logical. Comfortably uses visual aids to enhance presentation. | Answers most questions. Presentation is clear for the most part, but not consistently. Comfortably uses visual aids to enhance presentation. | Some difficulty answering challenging questions. Presentation generally unclear and inconsistent. Uses some visual aids to enhance presentation. | Difficulty answering challenging questions. Presentation unclear and illogical. Does not use visual aids to enhance presentation effectively. | Does not understand/cannot answer questions. Presentation very confusing. Does not use visual aids to enhance presentation effectively. |
| **Future Program Potential**Do you feel that this presenter/topic would be a valuable addition to *next year’s* conference as an oral presentation?🞏 No🞏 **YES\*** *Please give us some more information below* 🡫 If **yes**, please indicate all of the following which apply: 🞏 Good presentation skills 🞏 Dynamic topic – additional results/outcomes to report next year 🞏 Good contribution to larger topic next year 🞏 Other: Please mark the area of focus: 🞏 Clinical research 🞏 Basic science research 🞏 Education Innovation 🞏 Clinical care 🞏 Other:  |
| Any other comments regarding potential for future conference presentation… |
| *So that we can contact you with any questions:* **Judge’s name: contact info: *Thank you!*** |